For the Northern District of California

IN THE	UNITED	STATES	DISTRICT	'COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CLARABELLE MOURA,

No. C 08-04025 WHA

ORDER RE DECLARATION

OF ILSE M. BUTTERFIELD

TO RATIFY PLEADINGS

Plaintiff,

v.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

AMERICAN WEST STEAMBOAT COMPANY LLC, d/b/a MAJESTIC AMERICA LINE, and DOES 1 to 100,

Defendants.

At the last hearing, Attorney Butterfield was ordered, as a result of her snafus concerning admission to practice, to file a declaration to ratify her prior statements and filings. She has filed a subsequent declaration now that she is admitted to practice in this district. She represented at the original hearing that she had served initial disclosures. However, in her recent declaration, she did not mention anything to do with her initial disclosures under Rule 26. Attorney Wootton, in response, points out this omission and states affirmatively that no initial disclosures were ever provided. Attorney Butterfield is ordered to state, under oath, with specificity by NOON ON FRIDAY, APRIL 10, 2009, when, if ever, she served initial disclosures under Rule 26.

Both sides should be aware that Rule 26 does not require production of evidentiary documents but does require disclosure of the category of documents to be relied upon as well as

Case 3:08-cv-04025-WHA Document 44 Filed 04/06/09 Page 2 of 2

26

27

28

1	other information. Be further aware that under Rule 37(c) failure to comply with the initial
2	disclosures requirements can lead to preclusion.
3	
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.
5	10mg Alma
6	Dated: April 6, 2009
7	WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	